

1 Reading.

Read the supplemental splay tree handout. (If you didn't get one in class, you can find it on Blackboard.)

2 Problems.

1. Show the trees that result from inserting keys $10, \dots, 1$, in decreasing order, into an initially empty AVL tree. Show the tree after each insert.
2. Show the trees that result from inserting keys $312, 488, 682, 405, 170$, in this order into an initially empty splay tree. Show the tree after each insert.
3. Suppose we have a dictionary that contains n keys. Given any key k , suppose we run $find(k)$ repeatedly m times in a row. Answer the following questions as accurately as possible.
 - (a) What is the worst case runtime of the first call to $find(k)$ if the dictionary is implemented with an AVL tree? Explain.
 - (b) What is the worst case runtime of the first call to $find(k)$ if the dictionary is implemented with a splay tree? Explain.
 - (c) What is the *total* worst case runtime of the second through m th call to $find(k)$ if the dictionary is implemented with an AVL tree? Explain.
 - (d) What is the *total* worst case runtime of the second through m th call to $find(k)$ if the dictionary is implemented with a splay tree? Explain.
4. Given a splay tree implementation of a dictionary, give an algorithm for *chop* that takes two keys k_1 and k_2 and removes all keys strictly less than k_1 and strictly greater than k_2 . You may use any of the standard splay tree operations, *create*, *insert*, *delete*, *find*, and *splay*. Prove that your chop algorithm has amortized runtime of $O(\log n)$, i.e., that any sequence of m operations on an initially empty dictionary has worst-case runtime $O(m \log n)$ where n is an upper bound on the number of keys in the tree at any one time. (Hint: You should be able to use the in-class analysis of splay trees as a black box to prove the runtime. The proof is very short.)