

Solutions to Homework 1

Debasish Das

EECS Department, Northwestern University
ddas@northwestern.edu

1 Problem 1

The algorithm will print GCD and LCM of X and Y.

To prove: $\text{GCD}(X, Y)$ is given by $\frac{x+y}{2}$ while $\text{LCM}(X, Y)$ is given by $\frac{u+v}{2}$

Proof: Invariant for GCD computation are $x > 0 \wedge y > 0 \wedge \text{GCD}(X, Y) = \text{GCD}(x, y)$. Verify both steps maintain this invariant using the number theory result that $\text{GCD}(x, y) = \text{GCD}(x-y, y) = \text{GCD}(x, y-x)$. At termination we have $x = y$ and therefore $\text{GCD}(x, y) = \text{GCD}(x, x) = \frac{x+x}{2} = \frac{x+y}{2}$.

Invariant for LCM computation is $uy + vx$. Verify that both steps of algorithm maintain $uy + vx$ as invariant. Therefore from the initialization conditions when algorithm terminates $uy + vx = XY + XY$. Now since $x = y = \text{GCD}(X, Y)$ we get $\frac{u+v}{2} \times \text{GCD}(X, Y) = XY$. Using the number theory result we know that $\frac{u+v}{2}$ is the LCM.

2 Problem 2(0.1)

- (a) $n-100 = \Theta(n-200)$
- (b) $n^{\frac{1}{2}} = O(n^{\frac{2}{3}})$
- (c) $100n + \log(n) = \Theta(n + \log(n)^2)$
- (d) $n \log n = \Theta(10n \log 10n)$
- (e) $\log 2n = \Theta(\log 3n)$
- (f) $10 \log n = \Theta(\log(n^2))$
- (g) $n^{1.01} = \Omega(n \log^2(n))$
- (h) $\frac{n^2}{\log n} = \Omega(n(\log n^2))$
- (i) $n^{0.1} = \Omega((\log n)^{10})$
- (j) $(\log n)^{\log n} = \Omega(\frac{n}{\log n})$
- (k) $n^{\frac{1}{2}} = \Omega(\log n^3)$
- (l) $n^{\frac{1}{2}} = \Theta(5^{\log n})$
- (m) $n2^n = O(3^n)$
- (n) $2^n = \Theta(2^{n+1})$
- (o) $n! = \Omega(2^n)$
- (p) $\log n^{\log n} = O(2^{\log n^2})$
- (g) $\sum_{i=1}^n i^k = O(n^{k+1})$

3 Problem 3(0.2)

The given function $g(n)$ turns out to be a geometric series and it evaluates to

$$g(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{c^{n+1}-1}{c-1} & \text{if } c > 1 \\ \frac{1-c^{n+1}}{1-c} & \text{if } c < 1 \\ n & \text{if } c = 1 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

(a) When $c < 1$, lower bound on Equation 1 can be obtained by analyzing the case when $n = 0$ which is 1. Similarly upper bound is obtained by analyzing the case when $n \rightarrow \infty$ which comes out to be $\frac{1}{1-c}$. Since we got two constants bounding Equation 1 $g(n)$ is $\Theta(1)$

(b) When $c > 1$ similar analysis will produce upper and lower bounds as c^n which makes $g(n)$ as $\Theta(c^n)$

(c) When $c = 1$, the bound of $\Theta(n)$ is straight forward as each term of $g(n)$ evaluates to 1 and there are n terms.

4 Problem 4(0.3)

(a) Base case : $\text{Fib}[6] = 8 \geq 2^3$

Hypothesis : $\text{Fib}[i] \geq 2^{i/2} \forall i \in (6, \dots, k)$

Induction : $\text{Fib}[k+1] = \text{Fib}[k] + \text{Fib}[k-1] \geq 2^{k/2}(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$

$\text{Fib}[k+1] \geq 2^{k/2} \times \sqrt{2}$

Therefore $\text{Fib}[k+1] \geq 2^{\frac{k+1}{2}}$

(b) Using the generating function derivation shown in class Fibonacci numbers can be represented as

$$\text{Fib}[n] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\phi^n - \varphi^n) \quad (2)$$

where $\phi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $\varphi = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ Now choosing c as $\log \phi$ we need to prove that $\text{Fib}[n] \leq \phi^n$. We use induction to prove that.

Base case : $\text{Fib}[0] = 1 \leq \phi^0 = 1$

Hypothesis : $\text{Fib}[i] \leq \phi^i \forall i \in (0, \dots, k-1)$

Induction : $\phi^n = \phi^2 \phi^{n-2} = (1 + \phi) \phi^{n-2}$

Using induction hypothesis $\phi^n \geq \text{Fib}[n-1] + \text{Fib}[n-2] = \text{Fib}[n]$

Therefore c is given as $\log \phi$

(c) Any number in between 0.5 and $\log \phi$ will suffice. Largest is $\log \phi$.

5 Problem 5(1.31)

(a) N is an n -bit number. $N!$ is given by $1.2.3 \dots N$.

Upper Bound : Assuming each number $1, 2, 3, \dots, N$ is represented by n bits, the result of multiplying N n -bit number will give a number of $N * n$ bits where $N = 2^n$. Hence it is $O(N * n)$

Lower Bound : Since each number $i \in (1, 2, \dots, N)$ can be optimally represented

by $\log i$ bits, total number of bits in $N!$ is given by $\sum_{i=1}^N \log i$ which is $\log N!$. Using Sterling's approximation or using a factor argument we know $N! \geq \frac{N}{2}^{\frac{N}{2}}$ which implies that total number of bits in $N!$ is lower bounded by $N \log N$. It turns out to be $\Omega(N \log N)$. Combining both we get $\Theta(N \log N)$

(b) A simple iterative algorithm to solve the problem is given by:

```

Input : N
Output : N!
prod = 1
for i = 1 to N
    prod = prod * i
return prod

```

Complexity analysis: We present a worst case bound. Assuming each of the number $1, 2, 3, \dots, N$ are n -bit long each multiplication computes product of a $i \times n$ bit number with n bit number. Therefore total time taken by the for-loop is given by $\sum_{i=1}^N (n \times i)$ which turns out to be $O(N^2 \times n)$

6 Problem 6(1.32)

Given numbers X and Y , apply Euclid algorithm (page 20) to compute $\text{GCD}(X, Y)$. Followed by that get $\text{LCM}(X, Y)$ by $\frac{X \times Y}{\text{GCD}(X, Y)}$. GCD computation takes $O(n^3)$ where n are the number of bits in X, Y . Final LCM computation takes $O(n^2)$. Therefore the algorithm is bounded by $O(n^3)$.